(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.1 of 2025

M/s.Rollatainers Limited Petitioner (Adv.S.Eshwar)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & 5 anothers

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.02.2025 DAILY ORDER

No representation was made on behalf of the petitioner and respondents R-6 & R-7. Tvl. N. Kumanan, Standing Counsel appeared for the R-1, R-4 & R-5 and filed the counter affidavit for R-4 & R-5. Counsel for R-1, R-4 & R-5 represented that R-2 and R-3 are not necessary parties to the proceedings. The case is adjourned to 18.03.2025 for verification of service of notice on R-6 & R-7.

(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.18 of 2024

M/s.Amarjothi Spinning Mills Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.02.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the respondent submitted that entire payment has been made and the order of the Commission has been complied with. The counsel for the petitioner confirmed the same. The petitioner and respondent shall file a memo to that effect before the next date of hearing. The case is adjourned to 11.03.2025.

(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan Chairman thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.10 of 2024

M/s.L.S.Mills Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO &Ors.

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan&A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.02.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for petitioner sought time for arguments. At the request of the petitioner counsel, the case is adjourned to 13.03.2025 for arguments.

(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

I.A.No.1 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.12 of 2024 & D.R.P. No.12 of 2024

i) M/s.Sun Terrace Energy One Pvt. Ltd.

ii) M/s. Netaji Apparel Park Petitioners (M/s.Andrabi & Co)

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondent

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.02.2025

DAILY ORDER

Ms.Mazag Andrabi, Advocate from M/s.Andrabi & Co appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. Petitioner side arguments heard. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 20.03.2025 for arguments of the respondent. The interim order passed earlier in I.A.No.1 of 2024 shall stand extended till 20.03.2025.

(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan Chairman thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

I.A.No.1 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.16 of 2024 & D.R.P.No.16 of 2024

M/s.Sakthi Murugan Roller Flour Mills Pvt. Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.02.2025

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO and sought short adjournment for arguments. At the request of the respondent counsel, the case is adjourned to 20.03.2025 for arguments.

(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.R.Manivannan Chairman thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

I.A.No.1 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.17 of 2024 & D.R.P.No.17 of 2024

M/s.Sakthi Murugan Agro Foods Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.02.2025

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO and sought short adjournment for arguments. At the request of the respondent counsel, the case is adjourned to 20.03.2025 for arguments.

(Sd.....)(Sd......)(Sd......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

R.A.No.4 of 2024

Chief Financial Controller / Regulatory TANGEDCO Ltd. Petitioner (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy

Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO)

Versus

M/s.The Chettinadu Cement Corpn. Pvt. Ltd. Respondent

Hearing dated: 25.02.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought two weeks time for arguments. Thiru.T.Balaji, Advocate representing the counsel on record Thiru.S.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the Respondent. The counsel representing the respondent submitted that Special Leave Petition filed against the order of Remand made by APTEL has been dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and hence would like to argue the matter and further sought time for arguments. The counsel for the petitioner also sought time. At the request of the counsel for both side, the case is adjourned to 27.03.2025 for arguments

(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.9 of 2024

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondnets (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 25.02.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the respondent sought 10 days time for filing written submission with judgments relevant to the case. The counsel for the petitioner sought liberty to respond to the relevant case laws intended to be relied upon by the petitioner. The case is adjourned to 11.03.2025 for production of legal precedents and written submission by the respondent. Copy of written submissions shall be served upon the petitioner's counsel well in advance.

(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//