

No.15/ 2025 dated: 14-03-2025

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST for 18-03-2025 (Forenoon)****(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	M.P.No.19 of 2025	M/s.T.R.K. Textile India Private Limited Vs (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) SE/TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To punish the respondents under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance with directions issued by the Commission in its order dated 11.05.2023 in M.P.No.47 of 2021 and other orders. For admission.
2	M.P.No.1 of 2025	M/s.Rollatainers Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Electricity Ombudsman (iii) Chairman / CGRF, Krishnagiri EDC (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC (v) SE/Krishnagiri EDC (vi) M/s.Rajkumar Impex P Ltd (vii) E.Saravanan, Proprietor, M/s.E.S.Logic Power	Adv.S.Eshwar Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1, R-3 to R-5	To issue an order or direction calling for the records on the file of the 2nd Respondent and 5th Respondent pertaining to the Impugned demand notice dated 29.08.2016 and quash the same as being arbitrary and illegal and other orders. For verification of service of notice on R-6 & R-7.
3	D.R.P.No.24 of 2024	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD / TNPDC (ii) CFC/Revenue, TNPDC (iii) CE/PPP	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To determine the suitable rate / compensation payable to the petitioner towards supply of power such as coal handling charges, inland coal transportation charges and associated taxes etc for the period

				<p>between May 2022 to 5th June 2024 amounting to Rs.12.09 crores or such other orders as extended by Ministry of Power in its directions dated 05.05.2022.</p> <p>For counter.</p>
4	R.P.No.1 of 2025	<p>Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited</p>	<p>Adv.Richardson Wilson</p> <p>Adv.Rahul Balaji</p>	<p>Review the order dated 25.07.2024 in D.R.P.No.9 of 2023 and dismiss the D.R.P.No.9 of 2023 and declare that the respondent would be entitled to carrying cost on simple interest basis as per the terms agreed in the undertaking dated 30.04.2021 and other orders.</p> <p>For filing counter on the maintainability.</p>
5	R.A.No.5 of 2024	<p>Chief Financial Controller/Revenue, TANGEDCO Ltd</p>	<p>Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>Appeal filed by TANGEDCO in Appeal No.396 of 2024 challenging the order dated 15.07.2024 passed by the Commission in Suo-Moto Order No.6 of 2024 in the matter of Determination of Tariff for Distribution for FY 2024-2025.</p> <p>Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 08.10.2024 in Appeal No.396 of 2024 setting aside the impugned order to the limited extent in Suo Moto Order No.6 of 2024 directing the Commission shall put the TANGEDCO on</p>

				<p>notice, give them an opportunity of being heard, and thereafter, pass an order afresh, on the issue of start-up power, in accordance with law.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For filing additional documents / typedset.</p>
6	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2024 & D.R.P.No.4 of 2024	M/s.SRG Apparels Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Udumalpet EDC (iv) SE/Trichy/Metro EDC (v) M/s.Apex Coco and Solar Energy Ltd	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1 to R-4	<p>To pass an order of Interim Stay of the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice dated 06.02.2024 and impugned CC bill dated 08.03.2024 and to quash the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice bearing letter dated 6.2.2024 and 8.3.2024 insofar as Sl.No.20 'Adjustment Charges' of Rs.26,02.888/- is concerned as illegal, arbitrary, without authority and against the scheme of OA which is guaranteed under the Electricity Act, 2003 and pass appropriate orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For rejoinder.</p>
7	D.R.P.No.15 of 2024	M/s.Sathiya Process Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Tiruppur EDC (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (iv) Chief Internal Audit Officer, Audit Branch, TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	<p>To quash the impugned notice dated 11.03.2022 issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the 2nd & 3rd Respondents to make payment of Rs.1,94,71,051/- being principal including interest as on 02.05.2024 and other orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For rejoinder.</p>

8	D.R.P.No.18 of 2024	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To grant in-principle approval of the total capital cost of Rs.14.16 crores to be incurred for installation of the Combustion / Modification / Process and other orders. For rejoinder.
9	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.22 of 2024 & D.R.P.No.22 of 2024	M/s.Space Textiles Pvt Limited Vs (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TNGECL (iii) SE/Coimbatore EDC (iv) SE/Tirupur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To pass an order of Interim Stay of the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice dated 13.06.2023 and the consequential impugned demand letter dated 17.08.2024 and CC bill dated 07.09.2024 issued by the 4th Respondent and to quash the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice dated 13.06.2023 and 17.08.2024 and CC bill dated 07.09.2024 issued by the 4th Respondent insofar as Serial No.21 (Adjustment charges) for a sum of Rs.22,55,145/- as illegal, arbitrary, without authority and contrary to the Energy Wheeling Agreements and other orders. For rejoinder.
10	M.P.No.18 of 2024	M/s.Amarjothi Spinning Mills Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Punish the respondents under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of directions issued by the Commission in its order dated 23.01.2024 and 12.03.2024 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2021. For filing memo by the petitioner.

11	M.P.No.47 of 2024	<p>M/s.ITC Limited Vs</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North</p>	<p>M/s.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To punish the respondents under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 36 of the TNERC - Conduct of Business Regulations, 2004 for non-compliance of directions issued by the Commission in its order dated 17.08.2021 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2013 and other orders.</p> <p>For filing objections to the calculation memo and interest calculation memo on the admitted principal amount.</p>
12	P.P.A.P.No.2 of 2025	<p>Chief Engineer / PPP, Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited</p>	<p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>Seeking adoption of tariff discovered rate at Rs.5.58/-, Rs.5.79/- and Rs.5.99/- for RTC power for the period from February to May 2025 and Rs.8.70/- for peak hour power for Feb.2025 and Rs.8.90 for peak hour power for the period from March to May 2025 and other orders.</p> <p>For filing additional affidavit.</p>
13	D.R.P.No.19 of 2024	<p>M/s.Apraava Renewable Energy Private Limited Vs</p> <p>(i) CMD/Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corpn Ltd (ii) Director/Finance, TNPDC (iii) CE/NCES, TN Green Energy Corpn Ltd (TNGECL) (iv) SE/TNPDC/Theni EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Jafar Alam</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>Declare and direct that the respondents are not entitled to the benefit of the EMI mechanism under the Electricity (LPS & Related matters) Rules, 2022 notified on 3.6.2022 and direct the respondent to make payment of the total outstanding amounts forthwith in lumpsum along with applicable late payment surcharge at the rate of 1% per month as</p>

				per the Wind Energy Purchase Agreements totalling to Rs.63,07,02,079 as on 28.06.2024 and other orders. For arguments of the respondent as a last chance.
14	D.R.P.No.20 of 2024	M/s.Apraava Wind Energy (Theni - Project-II) Private Limited Vs (i) CMD/Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corpn Ltd (ii) Director/Finance, TNPDC (iii) CE/NCES, TN Green Energy Corpn Ltd (TNGECL) (iv) SE/TNPDC/Theni EDC	Adv.Jafar Alam Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Declare and direct that the respondents are not entitled to the benefit of the EMI mechanism under the Electricity (LPS & Related matters) Rules, 2022 notified on 3.6.2022 and direct the respondent to make payment of the total outstanding amounts forthwith in lumpsum along with applicable late payment surcharge at the rate of 1% per month as per the Wind Energy Purchase Agreements totalling to Rs.59,01,36,024 as on 28.06.2024 and other orders. For arguments of the respondent as a last chance.
Batch cases (Item Nos.15 to 20) In the matter of Start-up charges				
15	I.A.No.1 of 2025 In M.P.No.3 of 2025	M/s.Aqua Terra Coke & Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDC) (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To issue an interim injunction restraining the Respondents, their men, officers, subordinates, employees or any other person(s) claiming and/or acting under them from initiating any recovery action, contrary to the directions / clarifications issued by this Commission in

				<p>the order dated 29.12.2023, pending disposal of the Miscellaneous Petition filed by the applicant and other orders.</p> <p>For hearing on maintainability and payment of court fee.</p>
	M.P.No.3 of 2025	<p>M/s.Aqua Terra Coke & Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL) (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North</p>	<p>Adv.Vinod Kumar</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To issue appropriate clarifications on the consistency of the directions / clarifications issued by this Commission in the order dated 29.12.2023 with the start-up tariff provisions specified in the tariff orders in T.P.No.1 of 2017 dated 11.8.2017 and Order No.7 of 2022 in T.P.No.1 of 2022 dated 9.9.2022 in light of the interim order passed by Hon'ble APTEL on 21.10.2024 in A.No.425 of 2024 and other orders.</p> <p>For counter.</p>
16	M.P.No.51 of 2024	<p>(i) M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL)</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji</p> <p>Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>To issue an appropriate clarifications on the consistency of the directions / clarifications issued by the Commission, with the start-up tariff provisions tariff orders in T.P.No.1 of 2017 dated 11.08.2017 and Order No.7 of 2022 in T.P.No.1 of 2022 dated 9.9.2022 in light of the interim order passed by APTEL in APL No.353 of 2024 dated 30.09.2024 and other orders.</p> <p>For arguments.</p>

17	M.P.No.55 of 2024	<p>M/s.A.R.S.Metals Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDC)</p> <p>(ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO</p> <p>(iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO</p> <p>(iv) S.E/CEDC/North</p>	<p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj</p> <p>Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>Declare that in terms of the T.O.No.1 of 2017 dated 11.07.2017 passed by the Commission determining the tariff in terms of Clause 6.1.2 and in respect of Start-up power supply by the R-1 generators falling within the Category-I, described in the clarification order, the applicable demand charges shall be as computed mutatis mutandis as per the said clarification order dated 29.12.2023 in T.A.No.14 of 2022 and other orders.</p> <p>For arguments.</p>
18	<p>I.A.No.1 of 2025 in M.P.No.56 of 2024</p> <p>M.P.No.56 of 2024</p>	<p>M/s.Chettinad Cement</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDC)</p> <p>(ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO</p> <p>(iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO</p> <p>(iv) S.E./Dindigul EDC</p> <p>(v) AO/Revenue/Dindigul EDC</p>	<p>Adv.T.Balaji</p> <p>Adv.Richardson Wilson</p> <p>Adv.T.Balaji</p> <p>Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>To pass an order of Interim Injunction restraining the 1st & 3rd Respondents from claiming the demand charges, for the supply of electricity to generators, falling under category-I under the order dated 29.12.2023, at any amount in excess of the 50% of the demand charges, as provided in the table under Tariff Orders dated 11.08.2017 and 09.09.2022 and other orders.</p> <p>For counter.</p> <p>Declare that in terms of the T.O.No.1 of 2017 dated 11.07.2017 passed by the Commission determining the tariff in terms of Clause</p>

		<p>TANGEDCO (iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iv) S.E./Dindigul EDC (v) AO/Revenue/Dindigul EDC</p>		<p>6.1.2 and in respect of Start-up power supply by the R-1 to generators falling within the Category-I, described in the clarification order, the applicable demand charges shall be as computed mutatis mutandis as per the said clarification order dated 29.12.2023 in T.A.No.23 of 2022 and other orders.</p> <p>For arguments.</p>
19	<p>I.A.No.1 of 2025 In M.P.No.57 of 2024</p>	<p>M/s.Chettinad Cement Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL) (ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO (iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iv) S.E./Perambalur EDC (v) DFC/AO/Rev. Perambalur EDC</p>	<p>Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>To pass an order of Interim Injunction restraining the 1st & 3rd Respondents from claiming the demand charges, for the supply of electricity to generators, falling under category-I under the order dated 29.12.2023, at any amount in excess of the 50% of the demand charges, as provided in the table under Tariff Orders dated 11.08.2017 and 09.09.2022 and other orders.</p> <p>For counter.</p>
	<p>M.P.No.57 of 2024</p>	<p>M/s.Chettinad Cement Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL) (ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO (iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iv) S.E./Perambalur EDC (v) DFC/AO/Rev. Perambalur EDC</p>	<p>Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>Declare that in terms of the T.O.No.1 of 2017 dated 11.07.2017 passed by the Commission determining the tariff in terms of Clause 6.1.2 and in respect of Start-up power supply by the R-1 to generators falling within the Category-I, described in the clarification order, the applicable demand</p>

				charges shall be as computed mutatis mutandis as per the said clarification order dated 29.12.2023 in T.A.No.25 of 2022 and other orders. For arguments.
20	I.A.No.1 of 2025 in M.P.No.58 of 2024	M/s.Chettinad Cement Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL) (ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO (iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iv) S.E./Karur EDC (v) DFC/Revenue/Karur EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To pass an order of Interim Injunction restraining the 1 st & 3 rd Respondents from claiming the demand charges, for the supply of electricity to generators, falling under category-I under the order dated 29.12.2023, at any amount in excess of the 50% of the demand charges, as provided in the table under Tariff Orders dated 11.08.2017 and 09.09.2022 and other orders. For counter.
	M.P.No.58 of 2024	M/s.Chettinad Cement Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL) (ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO (iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iv) S.E./Karur EDC (v) DFC/Revenue/Karur EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	Declare that in terms of the T.O.No.1 of 2017 dated 11.07.2017 passed by the Commission determining the tariff in terms of Clause 6.1.2 and in respect of Start-up power supply by the R-1 to generators falling within the Category-I, described in the clarification order, the applicable demand charges shall be as computed mutatis mutandis as per the said clarification order dated 29.12.2023 in T.A.No.24 of 2022 and other orders. For arguments.

Batch cases (From item Nos.21 to 23) - In the matter of Transmission Charges - For arguments & filing of written submission in R.P.No.1 of 2024				
21	M.P.No.39 of 2024	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CMD / TANTRANSCO (iii) SE/Commercial Operation, TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to implement the order of the Commission in D.R.P.No.8 of 2023 dated 12.03.2024 and impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission in D.R.P.No.8 of 2023. For arguments.
22	D.R.P.No.14 of 2024	M/s.Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) TANTRANSCO Ltd (iii) SE/Commercial Operation (iv) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to refund the transmission charges of Rs.37,34,480/- paid by the petitioner in respect of its Generating Station under its MTOA dated 28.12.2022 along with interest of Rs.1,76,789/- and other orders. For arguments.
23	R.P.No.1 of 2024	CE/GO, TANTRANSCO Ltd Versus M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv. Rahul Balaji	To review the order dated 12.03.2024 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2023 and other orders. For filing of written submission.

(By order of the Commission)

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**